**0SA**-4654-65 #1748

REPLY TO: Audit Liaison Office P. O. Box 8155 S. W. Station Washington, D. C.

14 December 1965

SUBJECT: R view of ECP-1987-19 (Contract No. FH-7 21)

Airborne Instrument Laboratory

Deer Park, New York

TO : Contracting Officer

l. A review ha been made, to the extent deemed necessary, of the contractor's cost proposal to provide capabilities for production of crew films in operation and the techniques involved in producing these films. The review consisted of an evaluation of the proposed labor, overheads and general and administrative expense rates, a verification of the material costs proposed, and the pricing of estimated travel expense. The estimated labor hours, material requirements, number of trips and the need for overtime are recommended for review by a technically qualified representative.

2. A summary of the contractor's proposed by element of cost and the auditor's recommendations are as follows:

	Per Contractor Proposal	Auditor's Recom- mended Reduction	Ref Note:
Direct Labor - Engineering Direct Labor - Manufacturing Engineering Burden 104% Manufacturing Burden 72.5% Materials and Purchased Ports Travel and Subsistence Overtime Premium Pachaging and Shipping Subtotal G & A 7.5%	\$ 52,022 1,08 54,103 948 86,847 16,366 573 1,434 \$21,601 16,020	\$ 9,704 88 10,939 82 3,500	a b b c d e f
Total Cost Fee Requested 7.5%	\$229,621 17,222	\$26,326	<b>0</b> 8
Total Proposal	\$246,843		

#### Ref. Notes:

## a. Direct Labor

(1) A comparison of the proposed average hourly rates by labor category with the average rates experienced under the 1987 program, of which this subject ECP is a part, indicated that the proposed rates are higher. The auditor's recommendation for a reduction is based on the substituting the actual average rates for the proposed hourly rates and questioning the difference as presented below:

	Aver Hourly Per Proposal	***	in Rate Under Proposal (Over Proposal)	Proposed Estimated Labor Hours	Recommended Reduction Diff. x Hrs.
Administrative Engineering Technicians Publications Design and Drafting Packaging and Shipping Maintenance	\$3.98 7.63 3.86 3.27 70 2.80 3.27	\$3.06 6.02 3.44 3.63 3.63 2.70	\$ .92 1.61 .42 (.36) .07 .10 .22	700 5,090 1,840 170 120 90 620	\$ 644 8,195 773 (61) 8 9 136
Total Direct Engir	ieering Lat	or Questi	Loned		\$9,704
<b>S</b> hop	\$ .27	<b>\$</b> 3.05	\$2	400	\$ 38

It should be noted, acceptance of the proposed hourly rates in previous reviews performed on ECPs under this same program, were based on the compatability with the actual experienced rates recommended by the Auditor for this report.

(2) A review of the direct labor hours by a qualified technical representative is recommended.

# b. Burden and General and Administrative Expen e

The burdens and G & A rates proposed are considered somewhat excessive compared to the current year to date incurred rates, as well as the contractor's budgets and forecasts. The contractor's computation and the Auditor's recommendations are as follows:

	Engineering Burden	Manufacturing Burden	G & A
Per Contractor's Proposal  Base Rate	\$52,022 104%	\$1,308 <b>7</b> 2.5%	\$213,601 7.5%
Burden A	\$54,103	\$ 948	\$ 16,020
er Auditor			
Base Per Contractor' Less: Auditor's Applicable Cost Ques Base Per Auditor Rate	\$52,032 t. <u>9.704</u> \$42,318 102%	\$1,308 88 <del>\$1,220</del> 71%	\$21 ,601 24,313 \$189,288 7.4%
Burden B	\$43,164	\$ 866	\$ 14,007
onts Questioned A - B	\$10,939	\$ 0.82	\$ 2,013

## c. Material and Purcha ed Parts

- (1) Except for two (2) item, the contractor's pricing of the bill of materials were verified to either purchase orders or to a vendor's catalogue. The Auditor's exceptions are based on lack of any support for miscellaneous photo supplies \$2,000 and a \$1,500 estimate for engineering changes that may be needed for alterations to the animation stands.
- (2) The need for the particular items listed in the contractor's bill of material are recommended for review by a technical representative.

## d. Travel and Subststance

The contractor's pricing of travel expense is conmiddered acceptable for the purpose of this report. However, the need and number of trips are recommended for review by a technically qualified representative. The estimated round trips are as follows:

- 1 Fourteen (14) day trips to the West Coast to set up the facility and start the operation (14 x \$801 = \$11,214).
- 2 Twelve (12) two (2) day trips to the Mid-West for interface meetings with OPS personnel (12 x \$152 = \$1,824).
- 3 Eight (8) three (3) day trips to the West Coast for vendor liason work (8 x \$416 = \$3,328).

#### e. Overtime Premium

The contractor estimated 10% of Clas VI through IX labor a overtime. The premium portion is computed by applying  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the hourly rate to 10% of the estimated direct labor of these classes as follows:

Labor Cla: s	Proposed Estimated Labor Hours	Hourly Rate Per Proposal	10% of Proposed Labor Hours	of Hourly Rate	Premium Time
VI	1600	\$3.70	160	\$1.85	\$296
AII	1410	3.27	140	1.63	,≟28
VIII	90	2.80	10	1.40	14
IX	≥80	2 <b>.</b> 3 <b>3</b>	30	1.16	35
			•		<b>\$</b> 5/3

# f. Packaging and Shipping

. No exceptions noted.

g. Contractor has requested at fee based on 10% of the estimated costs.

. The results of the review were discussed with the contractor's representative who reserved comment at this time.

#### SIGNED

WILLIAM F. EDWARDS
Auditor General Representative (APL)